UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,895	01/20/2004	Morteza Gharib	06618-437003	4208
	7590 05/04/2007		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC P.O. BOX 1022		FREAY, CHAI	FREAY, CHARLES GRANT	
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
			•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				- (
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/761,895	GHARIB, MORTEZA			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Charles G. Freay	3746			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DONGS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from . cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. \$ 133)			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 M</u>	larch 2007				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 2-17 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 2-17 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers	,				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document)-(d) or (f).			
	 Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document 		ion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau	-	ca iii tiiis ivationai Otage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • •	ed.			
		·				
	•					
Attachmen	ıt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	t Jehnessen			
S Patent and T	rademark Office					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- l. Claims 1-13, drawn to tube pump, classified in class 417, subclass 474.
- II. Claims 14-17, drawn to a method of pumping, classified in class 417, subclass 53.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In the instant case a tube pump having an actuator, controller and feedback system could be used in a process wherein the feedback system prevents damage to the drive/actuator system.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following two patentably distinct sets of species: Species Set 1 directed to the arrangement of the actuator to the

Art Unit: 3746

pumping portion: species 1 being the actuating part does not completely surround and species 2 being the actuating part completely surrounds the tube; Species Set 2 relates to the type of actuating system (note page 7 of the specification): species 1 being a linear translation system, species 2 is a pneumatic or hydraulic system, species 3 is a magnetic solenoid, electrical stepper or DC motor, species 4 is a "pseudo electrical effect", species 5 being the effect of contractility of skeletal muscles based on polymers or magnetic fluids, or grown heart muscle tissue, species 6 is a coil only around the segment, and species 7 being a magnet having a substantially U-shaped yoke (Fig. 4). The species are independent or distinct because the species are mutually exclusive and are not capable of use together.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each of the above Species Sets for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 8 and 14 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

The examiner notes that the elected species from each Species Set should be fully disclosed and enabled and that the two species elected should be disclosed as operating together.

Art Unit: 3746

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles G. Freay whose telephone number is 571-272-4827. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 A.M. to 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571-272-4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charles G Freay (Primary Examiner Art Unit 3746

CGF April 26, 2007